## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RAY WILBUR PAYN,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Case No. CIV-15-1089-D
GERALD E. KELLEY, et al.,	)
Defendants.	)

## **ORDER**

Plaintiff's letter requesting removal of Paula Payn as a defendant in the above-captioned case [Doc. No. 23] is construed by the Court as a Notice of Dismissal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i). Therefore, the court finds that Plaintiff's action against Paula Payn is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, as she has neither answered nor filed a motion for summary judgment. *See Pipeliners Local Union No. 798 v. Ellerd*, 503 F.2d 1193, 1199-1200 (10th Cir. 1974) (Rule 41(a)(1) permits a dismissal of less than all parties); the caption shall so reflect throughout the remainder of these proceedings.

Plaintiff is reminded that a letter is an improper vehicle for the relief requested here. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 7. Although Plaintiff is a *pro se* litigant whose pleadings are held to a less stringent standard than ones drafted by lawyers, this Court may properly insist that he "follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants." *Garrett v. Selby Connor Maddux & Janer*, 425 F.3d 836, 840 (10th Cir. 2005) (quoting *Nielsen v. Price*, 17 F.3d 1276, 1277 (10th Cir. 1994)). As such, Plaintiff's *pro se* status does not excuse him from familiarizing himself with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Western District of Oklahoma, and this Court's chambers rules. *Spencer v. Cumulus Broadcasting, LLC*, No. 07-cv-02173-REB-BNB, 2008 WL 2751339 at \*1, n.1 (D. Colo. July 11, 2008) (citing *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir. 1991)).

## IT IS SO ORDERED this 21st day of October, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. DEGIUSTI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE